IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

FRIDAY, THE 13TH JULY 2007 / 22ND ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 21615 of 2007(W)

PETITIONER:

G.INDIRA DEVI,
H.S.A. (SOCIAL STUDIES), S.N.V.G.H.S.,
PARAVOOR, KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.ELVIN PETER P.J.

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL EDUCATION
DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE MANAGER,
S.N.V.H.S., PARAVOOR, KOLLAM.

4. SMT. SMITHAMOL,
H.S.A. (PHYSICAL SCIENCE), S.N.V.G.H.S.,
PARAVOOR, KOLLAM.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI. A.J. VARGHESE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 13/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K. BASHEER, J.

W.P.(C). NO. 21615 OF 2007

Dated this the 13th day of July, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The limited prayer made in this writ petition which is at the instance of a High School Assistant (Social Science) working in the school under the management of respondent No.4, is to issue a writ of mandamus or such other appropriate writ or direction to respondent No.1 to take a decision on Ext.P4 revision petition preferred by her.

2. Grievance of the petitioner appears to be that approval for her appointment as H.S.A. (Social Science) with effect from 2.6.04 has been declined without any legal or valid reasons. It is contended by the petitioner that the appellate authority also did not consider the relevant aspects of the issue in its proper perspective while dismissing the appeal, as could be seen from Ext.P2. It is therefore that the petitioner has preferred Ext.P4 revision petition.

Having regard to the entire facts and circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider and pass orders on Ext.P4, strictly on its merit and in accordance

with law, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Needless to mention, the petitioner as well as the 3rd respondent shall be afforded sufficient opportunity to be heard before any decision is taken in the matter. Petitioner shall produce a certified copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before respondent No.1 for compliance.

A.K. BASHEER, JUDGE

vps

A.K. BASHEER, JUDGE

OP NO.20954/00

JUDGMENT

1ST MARCH, 2007